

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/633,894	08/04/2003	Scott Powers	018781-004721US	5609
20350 75	90 04/26/2005		EXAM	INER
	AND TOWNSEND AN	ULM, JOHN D		
TWO EMBARO	CADERO CENTER OR		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111-3834			1646	

DATE MAILED: 04/26/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Off: A (* O	10/633,894	POWERS ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	John D. Ulm	1646				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 04 Au	igust 2003.					
	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
 4) Claim(s) 6 and 42 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 42,44 and 45 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 43 and 46 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 						
Application Papers	•	·				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s)) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO 413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	te				
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>8/4/03</u> .	5) Notice of Informal Pa	atent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/633,894

Art Unit: 1646

1) Claims 42 to 46 are pending in the instant application.

2) The disclosure is objected to because it contains an embedded hyperlink and/or other form of browser-executable code in line 6 on page 18. Applicant is required to delete the embedded hyperlink and/or other form of browser-executable code. See MPEP § 608.01(p), which states that:

"When a patent application with embedded hyperlinks and/or other forms of browser-executable code issues as a patent (or is published as a patent application publication) and the patent document is placed on the USPTO web page, when the patent document is retrieved and viewed via a web browser, the URL is interpreted as a valid HTML code and it becomes a live web link. When a user clicks on the link with a mouse, the user will be transferred to another web page identified by the URL, if it exists, which could be a commercial web site. USPTO policy does not permit the USPTO to link to any commercial sites since the USPTO exercises no control over the organization, views or accuracy of the information contained on these outside sites. If hyperlinks and/or other forms of browser-executable code are embedded in the text of the patent application, examiners should object to the specification and indicate to applicants that the embedded hyperlinks and/or other forms of browser-executable code are impermissible and require deletion."

Correction is required.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

⁽a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Page 3

Art Unit: 1646

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

unpatentable over the Nef et al. publication (P.N.A.S. 89:8948-8952, Oct. 1992). These claims encompass a polyclonal antibody that "specifically binds to the polypeptide of SEQ ID NO:6", and an Fv fragment thereof. The limitation "specifically binds to" is recognized in the art as referring to an antibody that binds to an epitope containing within a referenced molecule without binding to any molecule lacking that epitope. Neither the instant specification nor the art of record describes an antibody that binds to an epitope contained within one protein without binding to that same epitope when it is present in a different protein. It is well established in the art that a protein epitope can, and usually does, consist of no more than five or six amino acids. Therefore, the instant claims encompass polyclonal antisera raised against any protein that shares at least one epitope with SEQ ID NO:2. The protein described as mouse OR3 in Figure 1 of the Nef et al. publication shares a plurality of epitopers with SEQ ID NO:2 of the instant application, including the sequences LHTPMYFFL, KTISYGGCV, WLGATEC, CRPLHY,

Application/Control Number: 10/633,894

Art Unit: 1646

SWLGGL, DTSLNE, EGRRKAFNTC, and NPLIYTLRN. Because the production of polyclonal antibodies to a particular protein for the purposes of purification and *in situ* visualization of that protein were old and well known in the art at the time of the instant invention, as conceded by the text on pages 21 and 22 of the instant application, an artisan would have found it *prima facie* obvious to have produced polyclonal antibodies to the OR3 receptor protein of Nef et al. by employing those methods that were routine in the art at that time to facilitate the purification, characterization, and *in situ* visualization of that protein, at the time that the instant application was filed. Because OR3 shares a plurality of epitopes with SEQ ID NO:2, the instant claims encompass polyclonal antisera the has been raised against OR3.

4) Claims 43 and 46 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John D. Ulm whose telephone number is (571) 272-0880. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00AM to 5:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Anthony Caputa can be reached on (571) 272-0829. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 1646

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

JOHN ULM PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 1800